PHOTOOXIDATION OF THE SEX PHEROMONE (Z,E)-9,12-TETRADECADIENYL-1-ACETATE

Giichi GOTO, Yutaka MASUOKA, and Kentaro HIRAGA

Central Research Division, Takeda Chemical Industries, Ltd.,

Jusohonmachi, Yodogawa-ku, Osaka 532

The sex pheromone (Z,E)-9,12-tetradecadienyl-1-acetate $(\underline{1})$ was readily converted into inactive 13-hydroperoxy-(Z,E)-9,11-tetradecadienyl-1-acetate $(\underline{2})$ and 9-hydroperoxy-(E,E)-10,12-tetradecadienyl-1-acetate $(\underline{3})$ by exposure to air and sunlight without intentional addition of sensitizer.

The sex pheromone (Z,E)-9,12-tetradecadienyl-1-acetate $(\underline{1})$ was first isolated from Prodenia eridania by Jacobson and has an interesting cis,trans 1,4-diene moiety in the molecule. $\underline{1}$ has also been shown to be the sex pheromone of Cadra cautella, Cadra figulilella, Plodia interpunctella, Anagasta kuehniella, Ephestia elutella, Spodoptera exigua and Spodoptera litura. In the course of synthetic studies on the sex pheromone of S. litura, we found that $\underline{1}$ was readily converted into an inactive substance by exposure to air and sunlight in open glass vessel. This communication describes the structures of the photoproducts.

Irradiation (sunlight, in film form) of $\underline{1}$ for three days at room temperature without intentional addition of sensitizer resulted in the formation of a polar product (24% yield) which was purified by preparative thin layer chromatography (silica gel; benzene-AcOEt 5:1). The IR, 10 UV 11 and NMR 12 spectra suggest that the product is a mixture 13 of 13-hydroperoxy-(Z,E)-9,11-tetradecadieny1-1-acetate ($\underline{2}$) and 9-hydroperoxy-(E,E)-10,12-tetradecadieny1-1-acetate ($\underline{3}$). The high resolution mass spectrum of the mixture shows a molecular peak 14 at m/e 284 and fragment peaks at 268.2084 (M-16, Calcd. for 16 H $_2$ 80 $_3$: 268.2038), 266.1920 (M-18, Calcd. for 16 H $_2$ 60 $_3$: 266.1882), 251.2029 (M-33, Calcd. for 16 H $_2$ 70 $_2$: 251.2011) and 191.1801 (M-93, Calcd. for 14 H $_2$ 3: 191.1799). These data also supported the hydroperoxide structures. Location of terminal methyl protons at 8 1.22 and 1.76

(total 3H) indicated that the ratio of 2 and 3 was 4:1.

The structures and configurations of newly formed double bonds of $\underline{2}$ and $\underline{3}$ were further confirmed by the following experiments. NaBH₄ reduction¹⁵ of the mixture in methanol and the following preparative thin layer chromatography (silica gel; benzene-AcOEt 5:1) yielded two alcohols: $\underline{4}$, UV λ_{max} (EtOH) 232 nm (£ 19000); IR \mathcal{V}_{max} (film) 3450 (OH), 1735 (OAc), 990, 950 cm⁻¹ (cis, transconjugated diene); NMR δ_{ppm} (CCl₄), 1.19 (3H, dd, J=6Hz, 1.5Hz, Me-CH-OH), 1.93

$$\underline{2} \xrightarrow{\text{NaBH}_{4}} \text{Me} \xrightarrow{\text{OH}} \text{CH}_{2} \text{OAc} \xrightarrow{\text{Pet. ether}} \text{Me} \xrightarrow{0} \text{CH}_{2} \text{OAc}$$

$$\underbrace{3} \xrightarrow{\text{NaBH}_{\frac{1}{4}}} \text{Me} \xrightarrow{\text{OH}} \text{CH}_{2} \text{OAc} \xrightarrow{\text{Pet. ether}} \text{Me} \xrightarrow{\text{O}} \text{CH}_{2} \text{OAc}$$

(3H, s, OAc), 3.95 (2H, t, J=6Hz, CH₂-OAc), 4.20 (1H, qd, J=6Hz, 6Hz, C₁₃-H), 5.2-6.4 (4H, m, vinyl H); Mass m/e 268.2027 (M⁺, Calcd. for C₁₆H₂₈O₃: 268.2038) and $\underline{5}$, UV λ max (EtOH) 228.5 nm ($\underline{\epsilon}$ 24000); IR ν max (film) 3450 (OH), 1735 (OAc), 1650, 985 cm⁻¹ (trans, trans-conjugated diene); NMR δ CCl₄) 1.71 (3H, d, J=6Hz, Me-CH=), 1.94 (3H, s, OAc), 3.95 (2H, t, J=6Hz, CH₂-OAc), 4.21 (1H, td, J=6Hz, 6Hz, C₉-H), 5.2-6.4 (4H, m, vinyl H); Mass m/e 268.2039 (M⁺, Calcd. for C₁₆H₂₈O₃: 268.2038), in a ratio of 4:1.

Oxidation of $\underline{4}$ and $\underline{5}$ with active manganese dioxide 16 in petroleum ether afforded $\underline{6}$, UV $\lambda_{\rm max}$ (EtOH) 276 nm (ϵ 21000); IR $\nu_{\rm max}$ (film) 1695, 1685, 1635, 1595 (conjugated dienone), 1000, 965 cm $^{-1}$ (cis, trans-conjugated diene); NMR $\delta_{\rm ppm}$ 2.14 (3H, d, J=1.5Hz, Me-C=0), 5.7-6.2 (3H, m, vinyl H), 7.35 (1H, dd, J=16Hz, 10Hz, C_{11} -H); Mass m/e 266.1897 (M⁺, Calcd. for C_{16} H $_{26}$ O $_{3}$: 266.1882) and $\underline{7}$, m.p. 34°, UV $\lambda_{\rm max}$ (EtOH) 274 nm (ϵ 26000); IR $\nu_{\rm max}$ (KBr) 1695, 1685, 1640, 1595

(conjugated dienone), 1000 cm⁻¹ (trans, trans-conjugated diene); NMR $\delta_{\rm ppm}$ (CC1₄) 1.87 (3H, d, J=5Hz, Me-CH=), 2.43 (2H, t, J=7Hz, -CH₂-C=0), 5.9-6.2 (3H, m, vinyl H), 7.04 (1H, dd, J=16Hz, 10Hz, C₁₁-H); Mass 266.1880 (M⁺, Calcd. for C₁₆H₂₆O₃: 266.1882), respectively. The coupling constant (16Hz)¹⁷ of C₁₁-H in 6 and 7 showed that newly formed double bonds of 2 and 3 have E-configuration. These chemical and spectral data are in good agreement with the structures.

The results are quite interesting in view of the fact that the insect mates at night in the field. For the practical use and the field test of the pheromone, this photooxidation may be a troublesome problem. But addition of antioxidants such as 4-methyl-2, $6-\text{di-}\underline{t}$ -butylphenol into $\underline{1}$ was effective to protect against the oxidation without any mating inhibitory effects. ¹⁸

We wish to thank the members of Research and Development Corporation of Japan (RDC), Drs. T. Kono, T. Yushima and Y. Tamaki of National Institute of Agricultural Sciences and Drs. S. Tatsuoka, H. Morimoto and T. Miki of this Division for their encouragement. We also thank Dr. M. Sakai of Agricultural Division for the biological test.

References and Footnotes

- M. Jacobson, R. E. Redfern, W. A. Jones, and M. H. Aldridge, Science, <u>170</u>,
 542 (1970).
- 2. Y. Kuwahara, C. Kitamura, S. Takahashi, H. Hara, S. Ishii, and H. Fukami, Science, 171, 801 (1971).
- 3. U. E. Brady, J. H. Tumlinson III, R. G. Brownlee, and R. M. Silverstein, Science, 171, 802 (1971).
- 4. U. E. Brady and R. C. Daley, Ann. Entomol. Soc. Amer., 65, 1356 (1972).
- 5. K. H. Dahm, I. Richter, D. Meyer, and H. Röller, Life Science, <u>10</u>, Part II, 531 (1971).
- Y. Kuwahara, H. Hara, S. Ishii, and H. Fukami, Agr. Biol. Chem., <u>35</u>, 447
 (1971).
- 7. U. E. Brady and D. A. Nordlund, Life Science, 10, Part II, 797 (1971).
- 8. U. E. Brady and M. C. Ganyard Jr., Ann. Entomol. Soc. Amer., 65, 898 (1972).
- 9. Y. Tamaki, H. Noguchi, and T. Yushima, Appl. Entomol. Zool., $\underline{8}$, 200 (1973).
- 10. ν_{max} (film) 3400 (OOH), 1735, 1720 (OAc), 1660 (C=C), 990, 950 cm⁻¹ (conjugated diene).

- 11. λ_{max} (EtOH) 229 (sh), 231 nm.
- 12. δ_{ppm} (CC1₄) 1.22 (dd, J=6Hz, 1.5Hz, Me-CH-OOH), 1.76 (d, J=6Hz, Me-CH=), 1.95 (3H, s, OAc), 4.02 (2H, t, J=6Hz, CH₂-OAc), 4.3-4.7 (1H, m, CH-OOH), 5.3-6.7 (4H, m, vinyl H), 8.6 (1H, br, OOH, exchangeable with D₂O).
- 13. All attempts to separate the mixture were unsuccessful.
- 14. Owing to the low intensity of the molecular ion peak, it was difficult to calculate the high mass unit.
- 15. H. Morimoto, I. Imada, and G. Goto, Ann. Chem., 735, 65 (1970).
- 16. J. Attenburrow, A. F. B. Cameron, J. H. Chapman, R. M. Evans, B. A. Hems, A. B. A. Jansen, and T. Walker, J. Chem. Soc., 1094 (1952).
- 17. J. A. Pople, W. G. Shneider, and H. J. Bernstein, High Resolution Nuclear Magnetic Resonance, p. 238, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York 1959.
- 18. H. Fujiwara, unpublished results.

(Received July 25, 1974)